# FY 1998/1999 BIENNIAL BUDGET ESTIMATES **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

990



19970310

# JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

DITC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

February 1997

Approved for miblic releases

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

# National Defense Sealift Fund Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars)

Identification Code	on Code 17-4557-0-4-051	1996 actual	1997 est.	1998 est.	1999 est
01.0101 01.0301 01.0501 01.0701 10.0001	Program by activities: Strategic sealift acquisition Strategic sealift O&M Ready Reserve Force National Defense Features Research and Development	788,178 744,064 277,700 18,862 1,826,804	1,152,408 741,400 265,800 8,452 2,168,060	812,910 741,400 302,045 70,094 6,377 1,932,826	322,400 741,400 276,090 84,991 6,513 1,431,394
11.0001 21.9001 24.9001 39.0001	Financing: Offsetting collections from: Federal Funds(-) Unobligated balance available, start of year: Unobligated balance, SOY: Fund balance Unobligated balance available, end of year: Unobligated balance, EOY: Fund balance	-741,679 -511,697 <u>450,793</u>	-741,400 -450,793 	-741,400 -450,793 	-741,400 -450,793 -450,793 -689,994
40.0001 40.7501 43.0001	Budget authority: Appropriation (adjusted)	1,024,220	1,428,002 -1,342 -1,426,660	1,191,426	689,994
71.0001 72.1001 72.9001 74.1001 74.9001	Relation of obligations to outlays: Obligations incurred Orders on Hand, SOY Obligated balance, start of year:Obligated balance, start of year, fun Orders on Hand, EOY Obligated balance, end of year:Obligated balance, end of year, fund Outlays (net)	1,085,125 -1,569,513 3,323,949 2,081,109 -3,476,388 1,444,282	1,426,660 -2,081,109 3,476,388 881,109 -2,748,257	1,191,426 -881,109 2,748,257 881,109 -3,147,589	689,994 -881,109 3,147,589 881,109 -2,912,005
1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,           		1 1 1 1 1 1 1

# National Defense Sealift Fund Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)

! ! ! !		[ ] ] ] ]		       	1 
Identificat	Identification code 17-4557-0-4-051	1996 actual	1997 est.	1998 est.	1999 est.
	Reimbursable Obligations:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			! ! ! !
	Purchases goods/services (inter/intra) Fed accounts				
225.301	Purchase of goods/services from other Fed agencies	329,000	350,800	302,045	276,090
225.303	Purchases from revolving funds	744,064	741,400	741,400	741,400
231.001	Equipment	723,740	1,075,860	889,381	413,904
299.001	Total Reimbursable obligations	1,826,804	2,168,060	1,932,826 1,431,394	1,431,394
999.901	Total obligations	1,826,804	2,168,060	1,932,826 1,431,394	1,431,394

# NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Congress, will award later this fiscal year. Of the remaining three LMSRs to be procured, two will be awarded awarded in FY 1999. Efforts are also currently underway to award up to three Maritime Prepositioning Force ships and the new construction of ten LMSRs have been awarded. The additional FY 1997 LMSR added by Ready Reserve Force (RRF) requirements established by the DoD Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) and the MRS Bottom Up Review Update (BURU). The importance of substantial enhancements to our strategic The request of \$1,191.4 million in FY 1998 is for the construction/conversion, acquisition, operations and maintenance, and the related R&D of sealift assets which will be utilized for prepositioning, surge and mobility was first identified in the 1991 MRS and validated in the FY 1995 MRS BURU. Based upon ship requirements. To date, contracts for the conversion of five Large Medium Speed Roll-on Roll-off (LMSR) next year under the existing FY 1998 options with the remaining one (non-option) LMSR planned to be Enhancement (MPF(E) Ship for the Marine Corps with funds appropriated in FY 1995 and FY 1997 configurations, a total of 19 prepositioning/surge ships will be required to satisfy the MRS BURU

associated with the maintenance and alterations of Department of Defense (DoD) Mobilization Assets, such as Fast Sealift Ships (FSS), Large Medium Speed Roll-on/Roll-off (LMSR) vessels, Fleet Hospital ships (T-AH) development efforts for the Strategic Sealift Technology Development Program. Furthermore, \$302.0 million is budgeted in FY 1998 for cost associated with maintenance of the National Defense Reserve Fleet, which The NDSF budget request also includes \$6.4 million in FY 1998 for the continuation of research and includes the Ready Reserve Fleet. In addition, starting in FY 1998, \$70.1 million is budgeted for cost and Aviation Logistic Ships (T -AVB).

built, U.S. flagged, and U.S. crewed commercial ships. The NDF program provides funding to shipbuilders purchases these O&S services by issuing reimbursable orders to the Navy Working Capital Fund (NWCF), formerly known as the Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF). Lastly, NDSF funds the procurement, These operations, other than RRF vessels, are funded on a reimbursable basis to the Fund. The individual nstallation, and maintenance of National Defense Features (NDF) on privately owned and operated, U.S. such that specific features can be built into or added to current sealift and commercial ships to make them The NDSF funds the operation, maintenance, and support (O&S) of current strategic sealift assets. Defense components order these services from the NDSF via a funded Economy Act order. The NDSF more capable of supporting the military in a contingency.

SUMMARY FINANCIAL DATA

The following exhibits provide summary financial management information and supporting data.

ASGN	900 6		(QTY/TOA \$ Millions)	\$ Millions)						Ē
Jegu	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY2003	I otal Program
Ship Acquisition:	3408.7	596.1	1,152.4	812.9	322.4					22/6,292.5
- LMSR - Cost Growth	(11/3298.7)	(2/581.5)	(3/877.7)	(2/581.3) (131.5)	(1/322.4)					(19/5932.5)
- Adv Procurement - PY Completion - MPFE	nt (1/110.0)	(14.6)	(24.7) (2/250.0)	(70.0)						(3/360.0)
DoD Mobilization Assets (1)	sets (1)	70.1		85.0	106.5	128.0	139.3	138.8	667.7	
- FSS Maint - LMSR Maint				(48.4)	(57.3)	(58.7)	(59.8)	(61.1)	(62.5)	
- DOD Mob. Alts				(2.6)	(5.1)	(7.5)	(3.4)	(3.2)	(3.2)	
- T-AVB Maint - T-AH Maint				(5.6)	(6.1) (14.8)	(6.3) (14.8)	(7.5)	(6.7)	(6.8)	
					(2)	(21.1)		( )	(2127)	
Sealift R&D	2.0	19.1	8.5	6.4	6.5	2.9	6.8	7.0	7.1	70.1
NDRF/RRF O&M	43.0	309.0	265.8	302.0	276.1	255.8	269.2	266.2	275.1	2,262.2
NDF		50.0								50.0
LSV(2) Users O&M: (3)		50.0								50.0
Total	3,453.7	1,024.2	1,426.7	1,191.4	0.069	369.0	404.0	412.5	421.0	9,392.5

Prior to FY 1998 was DoD Mobilizations Assets were funded in O&M,N
 Design and Construction of a Large Scale Vehicle for testing Advanced Submarine Technologies (per Sect. 132 of P.L. 104-132)
 Funded on a reimbursable basis. Customer funding is appropriated in user Service O&M accounts

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	
Revenue: Gross Sales:					
Operations	748.3	741.5	648.2	682.0	
Depreciation Except Maj Const	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Major Construction Depreciation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Gross Sales	748.3	741.5	648.2	682.0	
Other Income	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Income	748.3	741.5	648.2	682.0	
TXDenses.					
Prepositioning Ships	645.6	630.3	644.4	678.1	
Surge Ships	102.7	111.2	3.8	3.8	
Total Expenses	748.3	741.5	648.2	681.9	
Work in Progress Adjusted	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Comp Work for Activity Reten Adj	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Cost of Goods Sold	748.3	741.5	648.2	681.9	
Operating Result	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Net Operating Result	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Transfers Not Affecting NOR/AOR	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Prior Year and Other Adjustments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other Inventory Adjustments	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WRM Appropriations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Net Result	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

#### 9

<del></del>	1. Orders from DoD Components:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
	Navy	487.1	483.2	418.1	412.8
	Army	192.0	195.0	162.2	199.5
	Air Force	38.1	31.5	33.3	35.5
	DLA	31.1	31.8	34.1	34.1
αi	Other Orders:				
	Other Federal Agencies	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Trust Fund	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Non-Federal Agencies	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
က်	Total Gross Orders	748.3	741.5	647.7	681.9
4.	Credits and Allowances:				
	Discounts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Price Reductions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ŋ.	Change to Backlog	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Total Gross Sales	748.3	741.5	647.7	681.9

Assets:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	
Selected Assets: Fund Balance with Treasury	1024.2	1426.7	1191.4	0.069	
Accounts Receivable	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Advances Made	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Inventories	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other Assets	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Deferred Capital Property	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Assets	1024.2	1426.7	1191.4	0.069	
Liabilities:					
Selected Liabilities:					
Accrued Liabilities	1024.2	1426.7	1191.4	0.069	
Advances Received	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Unfunded	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Liabilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other Liabilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Liabilities	1024.2	1426.7	1191.4	0.069	
Government Equity:					
Paid-in-Capital					
(Assets Capitalized less Liabilities Assumed) Accumulated Operating Results	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	
Total Government Equity	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Liabilities and Equity	1024.2	1426.7	1191.4	0.069	

#### œ

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
New Authority: New Construction/Conversion DOD Mobilization Assets RDT&E	1024.2 596.1 0.0 19.1	1426.7 1152.4 0.0 8.5	1191.4 812.9 70.1 6.4	690.0 322.4 85.0 6.5
NDNF Operations ans Maintenance National Defense Features Submarine Large Scale Test Vehicle	309.0 50.0 50.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	305.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Transfer to Other Accounts				
Obligations (Total) New Construction/Conversion	<b>1024.2</b> 596.1	<b>1426.7</b> 1152.4	<b>1191.4</b> 812.9	<b>690.0</b> 322.4
DOD Mobilization Assets RDT&E	0.0	0.0 8.5	70.1 6.4	85.0 6.5
NDRF Operations ans Maintenance	309.0	265.8	302.0	276.1
National Delense Features Submarine Large Scale Test Vehicle	50.0	0.0	0.0	0 0
Unobligated Balance, End of Year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Outlays (Total):	825.2	1138.6	988.2	609.4
Unliquidated Obligations, EOY	199.0	288.1	203.2	9.08
Financing of Capital Purchases: Direct Appropriation Transferred from Other Accounts Alliance Contributions	1024.2 1024.2 0.0	1426.7 1426.7 0.0 0.0	1191.4 1191.4 0.0	690.0 690.0 0.0 0.0

# FY 1998/99 OSD/OMB Budget Submission National Defense Sealift Fund FEBRUARY 1997

Supplemental Exhibits Index

45-55 [FSS Maint, LMSR Maint, Mobilization Alterations, T-AVB Maint, T-AH Maint] Enclosure (3) [Maritime Preposition Forece Enhancement (MPFE) Conversion program] Enclosure (2), pp. 19 [Operations and Maintenance of the NDRF to include the RRF] Enclosure (5), pp. 45-47 [LMSR New Construction/Conversion program] Enclosure (1), pp. 11-18 [National Defense Sealift Research and Development] Enclosure (4) [National Defense Features (NDF) program] Enclosure (6), pp. 49 [Submarine Large Scale Vehicle program] Enclosure (7), pp. 51-55 Research and Development DoD Mobilization Assets Ready Reserve Force Ship Acquisition

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

	BUDGE	r item.	DGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (P-40)	ATION	SHEET (	P-40)				DATE:	
		FY 1998 1	FY 1998 FMB Budget Estimates (\$M)	t Estimates	(\$M)						1-Feb-97
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVIT	CTIVITY: NDSF BA1	F BA1				P-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	NOMENCI	ATURE			
Program Year (PY)						Strategic S	ealift Conv	ersion & Ne	w Construc	Strategic Scalift Conversion & New Construction LMSR	
	PRIOR YEARS	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	TO COMPLETE	TOTAL PROGRAM
QUANTITY	11	2	3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
End Cost	3466.3	574.9	867.5	574.4	388.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5872.0
Less Advance Procurement	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(70.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(70.0)
Plus Post Delivery	33.3	9.9	10.2	6.9	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.5
Total End Cost	3499.6	581.5	877.7	581.3	322.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5862.5
Less Escalation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Full Funding TOA	3499.6	581.5	877.7	581.3	322.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5862.5
Plus Advance Procurement	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0
PY Program Completion	(200.9)	14.6	24.7	161.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.0)
Total Obligational Authority	3298.7	596.1	902.4	812.9	322.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5932.5
Plus Escalation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	3298.7	596.1	902.4	812.9	322.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5932.5
Unit Cost (Ave. End Cost)	315.1	287.5	289.2	287.2	388.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	309.1
MISSION:											

MISSION:

To carry Army equipment for aftoat propositioning and to transport ARMY/USMC or other services surge equipment to include | wheeled/tracked vehicles, helicopters, and cargo from CONUS to contingency areas.

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is \$173.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices.

\*Equates with the year of contract award and does not distinguish the budget year of all the dollars that fund the acquisition of the ships contracted for that year. For example, ships contracted for in FY93 are funding with dollars from FY93 and prior.

DD Form 2454, JUL 88

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED APPROPRIATION: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND (NDSF)

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 1

FY1998/99 FMB BUDGET ESTIMATES SUBHEAD: varies: SEALIFT
New Construction and Conversions

WEAPON SYSTEM COST ANALYSIS EXHIBIT (P-5)

P-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE:

	H	FY 1993	Ľ.	FY 1994	H	FY 1995	Ţ.	FY 1996	F	FY 1997	F	FY 1998	FY	FY 1999*		
ELEMENT OF COST	QTY	TOT COST OTY	OTY	TOT COST	VIO	TOT COST	OTV	TOTCOST	TOTA	TOTAL PROGRAM						
PLAN COSTS	7	0.0	2	0.0	2	ı	2	0.0	3	[	2	0.0		0.0	<u>_</u>	00
BASIC CONST/CONVERSION		1,974.9		493.8		486.5		474.4		701.7		463.3		348.1	i	4.942.7
CHANGE ORDERS		155.3		18.3		23.0		16.0		29.7		12.7		7.6		264.7
ELECTRONICS		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
HM&E		38.6		9.8		9.1		8.2		13.9		7.8		5.0		91.2
OTHER COST		39.1		3.3		10.8		2.0		2.1		2.0		0.7		0.09
ORDNANCE		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
ESCALATION		70.6		61.1		73.3		74.3		120.1		88.6		25.3		513.3
TOTAL SHIP ESTIMATE		2,278.5		585.1		602.7		574.9		867.5		574.4		388.8		5,871.9
POST DELIVERY		20.6		6.3		6.4		9.9		10.2		6.9		3.6		9:09
NET P-1 LINE ITEM		2,299.1		591.4		609.1		581.5		7.778		581.3		392.4		5,932.5
ADV PROCUREMENT (FY98 for FY99)	(66)	;		,				0.0		0.0		70.0		(70.0)		0.0
PRIOR YEAR REALIGNMENT		(174.5)		(3.6)		(22.8)		14.6		24.7		161.6		0.0		(0.0)
TOA		2,124.6		587.8		586.3		596.1		902.4		812.9		322.4		5,932.5

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is \$173.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices.

\*One ship in FY 97 and the FY99 ship will be awarded through limited competition; estimated contract award Jun 97 for the third FY97 ship, with an option for the FY99 ship.

EXHIBIT P-27 FY 1998 Budget Estimates 1-Feb-97

# NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND (NDSF) SHIP PRODUCTION SCHEDULE

Current Delivery Date	May-96	Aug-96	**Jan 97	May-97	**Nov 97	Jan-98	Aug-98	Jan-99	Jul-99	Apr-00	Apr-01	**Oct 98	**May 99	**Oct 99	**Apr 00	**Oct 00	**Apr 01	Oct-00	Sep-01
Estimated Delivery Date	May-96	Aug-96	Nov-96	Apr-97	Sep-97	Jan-98	Jul-98	Jan-99	Jul-99	Apr-00	Apr-01	Sep-98	Apr-99	Sep-99	Mar-00	Sep-00	Mar-01	Oct-00	Sep-01
Start of Construction	Jun-94	Oct-93	May-95	Oct-93	Oct-95	Jan-95	Oct-95	Sep-96	Mar-97	Jan-98	Jan-99	Mar-96	Jan-97	Aug-97	Feb-98	Sep-98	Mar-99	Jun-98	Jun-99
Contract Award	Jul-93	Jul-93	Jul-93	Jul-93	Jul-93	Sep-93	Sep-94	Sep-94	Dec-95	Nov-96	Nov-97	Sep-93	Oct-94	Oct-94	Jan-96	Nov-96	Nov-97	Jun-97	Nov-98
Fiscal Yr Authorized	FY93	FY93	FY93	FY93	FY93	FY93	FY94	FY94	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY93	FY95	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY99
Shipbuilder	NASSCO	Newport News	NASSCO	Newport News	NASSCO	Avondale	Avondale	Avondale	Avondale	Avondale	Avondale	NASSCO	NASSCO	NASSCO	NASSCO	NASSCO	NASSCO	TBD*	TBD*
Ship Type	<b>TAKR</b> 295	<b>TAKR</b> 296	<b>TAKR 297</b>	<b>TAKR</b> 298	<b>TAKR</b> 299	<b>TAKR</b> 300	<b>TAKR</b> 301	<b>TAKR 302</b>	<b>TAKR 303</b>	<b>TAKR 304</b>	<b>TAKR 305</b>	TAKR 310	<b>TAKR 311</b>	<b>TAKR 312</b>	<b>TAKR 313</b>	<b>TAKR 314</b>	<b>TAKR 315</b>	TAKR 99A	TAKR 99B

<sup>\*</sup> TAKR 99A and 99B will be awarded through limited competition with estimated award of Jun 97 for the FY97 ship; contract(s) for Advance Procurement will be assigned to a prime contractor in the same limited competition and awarded in FY 1998 for the FY99 ship.

\*\*NASSCO ships delivery dates may change due to strike impact

Note: Dates in bold are actuals.

CLASSIFICATION

FY 1998 Budget Estimates **EXHIBIT P-40** 1-Feb-97

		B	JDGET ITEM	<b>BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET</b>	<b>TON SHEET</b>					
			1 <b>\$</b>	\$ IN MILLIONS						
Appropriation/Budget Activity		National Defense Sealii BA #1 Strategic Sealift	Vational Defense Sealift Fund 3A #1 Strategic Sealift	g		Item Nomencl	tem Nomenclature: Strategic Sealift Conversion	c Sealift Conv	ersion	
Program Year (PY)	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY01 TOTAL
Quantity	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

MISSION: To carry Army equipment for afloat prepositioning and to transport ARMY/USMC or other services surge equipment to include wheeled/tracked vehicles, helicopters, and cargo from CONUS to contingency areas

				NASSCO	NASSCO	NASSCO	SNN	NNS	
				LEAD	FOLLOW	FOLLOW	LEAD	FOLLOW	
Characteristics:	NASSCO	NNEWS	Production Status:	TAKR 295	<b>TAKR 297</b>	TAKR 299	<b>TAKR 296</b>	<b>TAKR 298</b>	
Hull	Conversion	Conversion							
Length Overall	906' 11"	954'0"	Contract Award	Jul-93					
Beam	105'-7-3/4"	105'-9-1/2"	Months to Complete	34					
displacement	54,298 LT	55,422 LT	Delivery Date	May-96	Jan-97	Nov-97	Aug-96	Mav-97	
Draft	34'6"	35.0'	•	•					
Armament	None		Major Electronics:	None					

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is Program Year (PY) equates with the year of contract award and does not distinguish the budget year of all the dollars that fund the acquisition of the \$173.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices. ships contracted for that year. For example, ships contracted for in FY93 are funded with dollars from FY93 and prior.

STRATEGIC SEALIFT SHIP
P5 - CONVERSION 5 SHIP BUY

Program Year (PY)		FY93		F	FY93		FY93		
				NEWPORT	NEWPORT NEWPORT				
(\$ MILLIONS)	NASSCO LEAD	NASSCO NASSCO NASSCO LEAD FOLLOW FOLLOW	NASSCO NASSCO FOLLOW FOLLOW	NEWS LEAD	NEWS FOLLOW	TOTAL LEAD	FOTAL TOTAL LEAD FOLLOW	GRAND TOTAL	
1. PLANS	•	1	•	1		,	•	'	
2. BASIC	264.0	231.9	232.3	264.5	232.0	528.5	696.2	1224.7	
3. CHANGE ORDERS	23.5			28.2		51.7	43.2	94.9	
4. ELECTRONICS	1	•	•	,	•	•	•	Ī	
5. PROPULSION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
6. HM&E	6.4	2.5	3.1	6.5	3.0	12.9	8.5	21.4	
7. OTHER	1.4	1.3	8.0	1.4	0.8	2.8	2.8	5.6	
8. ORDNANCE	•	•	•	1	1	•	•	•	
9. ESCALATION	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	į	
SUBTOTAL WEAPON SYSTEM END COST	295.3	249.4	249.8	300.6	251.5	595.9	750.7	1346.6	
10. POST DELIVERY	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	7.5	12.5	
TOTAL WEAPON SYSTEM END COST/P-1 LINE ITEM	297.8	251.9	252.3	303.1	254.0	6.009	758.2	1359.1	

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is \$113.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

FY 1998 Budget Estimates EXHIBIT P-40 February 1997

**BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET** 

				\$	IN MILLIONS						
Appropriation/Budget Activity			National Defense Seali BA #1 Strategic Sealift	Vational Defense Sealift Fund 3A #1 Strategic Sealift	pun		Item Nomenclature: Strategic Sealiff New Construction	ature: Strateg	ic Sealift New	/ Construction	
Program Year (PY)	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97**	FY98	FY99**	FY00	FY01	FY02	TOTAL
Quantity	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	14

MISSION: To carry Army equipment for afloat prepositioning and to transport ARMY/USMC or other services surge equipment to include wheeled/tracked vehicles, helicopters, and cargo from CONUS to contingency areas

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is \$173.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices.

Program Year (PY) equates with the year of contract award and does not distinguish the budget year of all the dollars that fund the acquisition of the ships contracted for that year. For example, ships contracted for in FY93 are funded with dollars from FY93 and prior.

\*One ship in FY 97 and the FY99 ship will be awarded through limited competition; estimated contract award Jun 97 for the third FY97 ship, with an option for the FY99 ship.

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

P-5 EXHIBIT
FY 1998 Budget Estimates
1-Feb-97

PROGRAM COST BREAKDOWN

APPROPRIATION: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

BUDGET ACTIVITY: BA-1 STRATEGIC SEALIFT-NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

P-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE: NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

Program Year (PY)	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	TOTAL PROGRAM
QUANTITY	7	7	7	73	က	8	7	ı	•	t	15
(\$ MILLIONS)	ı	ı	ı	,	1	,	ı	ı	ı		
1. FLANS 2. BASIC	613.8	493.7	487.2	473.3	726.4	533.3	278.1				3605.8
3. CHANGE ORDERS	53.1	20.9	14.2	20.3	29.7	19.7	9.7		•	r	167.6
4. ELECTRONICS	,			ı	,	ı		,		•	t
5. PROPULSION							•		ı	•	
6. HM&E	23.0	5.4	9.9	8.8	13.9	8.8	5.0	ı	•	٠	71.5
7. OTHER	15.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.1	1.4	0.7				23.7
8. ORDNANCE	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•
9. ESCALATION	52.2	60.1	70.5	85.7	120.1	111.3	25.3	ı		٠	525.2
SUBTOTAL WEAPON SYSTEM END COST	757.4	581.5	579.9	589.5	892.2	674.5	318.8	,	,		4393.8
10. POST DELIVERY	8.1	6.3	6.4	9.9	10.2	6.9	3.6	-	1		48.1
TOTAL WEAPON SYSTEM END COST/P-1 LINE ITEM	765.5	587.8	586.3	596.1	902.4	681.4	322.4		ı	1	4441.9

NOTE: NDSF control is currently \$5,932.5M. The FY 98 control includes \$131.5M for cost growth and is distributed as follows: Conversion share of that increase is \$173.3M for cost growth and the New Construction share of that increase is -\$41.8M based on the latest escalation indices.

WEAPON SYSTEM ADVANCE PROCUREMENT EXHIBIT (P-10)	ADVANCE PR	OCUREMENT	FEXHIBIT (P-1	(0)	Budget Year for Fiscal Year Program	Year Program
(PROCUREMENT	OF ADVANCE DESIGN AND MATERIAL	EDESIGN ANI	MATERIAL)	<b>.</b>	FY98 for FY99	
	(TOA, Dollars in Thousands)	Thousands)			Date: Feb 97	
Weapon System Type (Model/Series No.)	First System Award Date		First System Completion Date	Date	Interval between System Completion	Completion
OCC NAME OF THE OCCUPANT OF TH	(Ship Award Date)		Sep-01 (Delevery of TAKR 99B)	998)	35 months	
Advance Procurement/Advance funding Items	Quantity	Date Contract Award Planned/Required	Delivery Date of First Equipment Required	Production Lead Time in Months (Adm/Prod) - Total	Unit Cost	Total Cost
FY98 FOR FY99					70,000	70,000
1. Main Diesel Engines	4	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	7,500	30,000
2. Hydraulic Power Mod Assembly	2	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	5,000	10,000
3. Propulsion Clutch	4	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	2,000	8,000
4. A/C Humidification System	1	Mar-98	Mar-00	24	7,500	7,500
5. Reduction Gear	2	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	3,000	6,000
6. Controllable Pitch Propellers	2	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	2,000	4,000
7. Main Control Console	₩.	Oct-97	Mar-00	30	2,000	2,000
8. Ship Control Console		Oct-97	Mar-00	30	1,500	1,500
9. Ship Phone System	<del></del>	Oct-97	Mar-00	24	1,000	1,000
Advance Procurement funding is required in order to allow the FY99 ship to start construction and be delivered by the end of FY 2001 which supports the Milestone II approved delivery schedule and responds to the requirements established by the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS).  The Contractor Furnished Equipments (CFE) listed represent the best candidates for A/P funding due to their extremely long production leadtimes. Due to the CFE nature of the A/P equipments, award dates and cost represent best estimates and are subject to change by the Prime Contractor.	d in order to allow the which supports the Mil is established by the Jo FE) listed represent thents, award dates and	FY99 ship to start colestone II approved de vint Chiefs of Staff (Me best candidates for cost represent best est	nstruction elivery CS). A/P funding due to th	leir extremely long pro	duction leadtimes. ne Contractor.	
DD Form 2438, Jul 88						

# Exhibit P-10 Weapon System Advance Procurement Analysis/Justification

19

NDSF Feb 1997 BA-1

## Exhibit P-40 FY 1998/1999 Budget Estimate Submission

Maritime Preposition Force Enhancement (MPFE) Program

(S)

FY 1996 FY 1997 FY 1998 FY 2000 FY 2001 0.0 250.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

> PY&FY 1995 110.0

MPFE

Total Program 360.0

> EY 2003 0.0

FY 2002 0.0

### Justification:

The Maritme Preposition Force Enhancement (MPFE) Program has been established as a result of Congressional adds in both FY 1995 and FY 1997.

A total of \$360 million has been added for the conversion of up to three ships. The addition of these ships to the Prepositionad Sealift Force will provide new capability to the MPF squadrons to include, expeditionary airfields, Navy Construction Battalions, Joint Task Force/Marine Force Headquarters Augment packages, Fleet Hospitals, as well as additional sustainment and restoration of equipment and supplies lost from existing MPF ships due to material sizing growth. The prepositioning of additional equipment with the existing MPF ship squadrons will significantly enhance the warfighting capabilities available to the Theater Commander in Chief (CINC), and will reduce both airfilf and surge sealift requirements from the Continental United States.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

2

BA-2 BLI #0210 NDSF Feb 1997

> FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission Fast Sealift Ship (FSS) Exhibit P-40

FSS Crew Costs Maintenance & Repair Layberth Other	EV 1996 16.81 14.11 6.56 29.87	EX.199Z 16.88 24.34 5.72 35.55	EY.1998 17.02 29.26 5.52 (3.39)	FY 1999 17.09 28.65 4.89 6.64	EY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Total FSS	6Z.35	82,49	48.41	57.27	58.70	59.81	61.13	62.47

### Justification:

Eight FSS are maintained in a 4-day Reduced Operating Status (ROS-4) as recommended by the OSD published Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) and the MRS Bottom-Up Review Update (MRS BURU). These ships provide the initial surge sealift capacity required transport the lead combat forces from CONUS to a given area of operations and satisfy time critical warfighting requirements. The criteria for each readiness status was also specified in the MRS (i.e. Outporting, Sea/Dock Trials, Maintenance). ROS-4 ships have a cadre crew assigned, outported at a layberth, and undergo annual sea trials, periodic dock trials, and required periodic regulatory drydockings/inspections.

Crew costs include wages & salaries.

Maintenance & Repair costs include daily maintenance and regulatory inspections, drydockings, and overhauls. Layberth Costs include berth lease, utilities, tugs, pilots, and inport fuel. Other costs include ADP support, supplies, subsistence, spare parts, consumables, and DBOF charges.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

NDSF Feb 1997 BA-2 BLI #0220

> Exhibit P-40 FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission Large Medium Speed Roil-On/Roil-Off (LMSR)

TWSH	EY 1996	EY 1997	EY 1998	EV 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002.	FY 2003
Maintenance & Repair	000	0.00	0.00	0.78				
Lavberth	0.00	000	00.0	0.29				
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26				
Total LMSR	000	000	00'0	1.75	19.22	42,16	52.89	50.56

### Justification:

Eleven LMSRs will be maintained in a 4-day Reduced Operating Status (ROS-4) as recommended by the OSD published Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) and the MRS Bottom-Up Review Update (MRS BURU). These ships provide the initial surge sealift capacity required to transport the lead combat forces from CONUS to a given area of operations and satisfy time critical warfighting requirements. The criteria for each readiness status was also specified in the MRS (i.e. Outporting, Sea/Dock Trials, Maintenance). ROS-4 ships have a cadre crew assigned, outported at a layberth, and undergo annual sea trials, periodic dock trials, and required periodic regulatory drydockings/inspections. Cost data incrementally increases as ships are delivered to the fleet and undergo an initial post delivery crew familiarization/warranty maintenance period. All 11 surge LMSRs will be delivered by end of FY 2001.

#### Note:

Grew costs include wages & salaries.

Maintenance & Repair costs include daily maintenance and regulatory inspections, drydockings, and overhauls.

Layberth Costs include berth lease, utilities, tugs, pilots, and inport fuel.

Other costs include ADP support, supplies, subsistence, spare parts, consumables, and DBOF<sub>e</sub>charges.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

22

NDSF Feb 1997 BA-2 BLI #0230

Exhibit P-40
FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission
DoD Mobilization Alterations
(\$M)

	1	EV 4007	FV 1000	1000	EV 2000	EV 2001	EV 2002	FV 2003
Modefritzation. USMC Aviation Support Ship (T-AVB) Hospital Ship (T-AH) Martime Prepositioning Ship (MPS) Offshore Petroleum Discharge	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.0 0.0 0.6 1.0	1.5 2.5 0.0 1.1				
System Ships (OPDS)  Total Modernization	316	31	2.8	5.1	7.5	3.4	3.2	3.2

### Justification:

Fleet modernization is required to replace obsolete equipment and respond to emergent fleet requirements are prioritized annually and fiscal resources allocated to complete most important satety and operational requirements as soon as resources become available. The FY-95/6 and FY-99 T-AH funds will convert an empty hold to allow storage of supplies enabling the ship to be self sufficient for up to 30 days. The FY-97-99 T-AVB funds will upgrade ship electrical system to correct potential safety hazards and allow ship to operate all embarked maintenance vans. FY-98 MPS funds will install additional anchors to safely allow efficient fuel offload across the beach. OPDS upgrades are being performed on two ships to make them self sufficient, correct safely deficiencies, and allow replacement of two aging, less capable pre-positioned OPDS ships in FY-99/00.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

27

BA-2 BLI #0240 Feb 1997

> FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission Aviation Support Ships (T-AVB) Exhibit P-40

EY 2003	<u>8'9</u>
FY 2002	<b>7.2</b>
FY 2001	7.5
FY 2000	6.4
FY 1999 2.64 2.07 1.03	20'9
EY 1998 2.58 1.72 1.00 0.33	5.63
EY 1997 2.52 1.52 0.98 0.32	5.34
EY 1996 2.47 2.78 0.96 0.31	6.52
T-AVB. Crew Costs Maintenance & Repair Layberth Other	Total T-AVB

## Justification:

Two T-AVBs are maintained in a 5-day Reduced Operating Status (ROS-5) as required by the Mobility Requirements Study (VOL II/APP 14) and CINC OPLANS. These ships provide the critical initial intermediate level aviation maintenance capability to support USMC warfighting operations and Operations Other Than War. T-AVB ships have a cadre crew assigned, are outported at a layberth, and undergo annual sea trials, periodic dock trials, and required periodic regulatory drydockings/inspections.

#### Notes:

Crew costs include wages & salaries.

Grew costs include wages & salaries.

Maintenance & Repair costs include daily maintenance and regulatory inspections, drydockings, and overhauls.

Layberth Costs include berth lease, utilities, tugs, pilots, and inport fuel.

Other costs include ADP support, supplies, subsistence, spare parts, and consumables.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

NDSF Feb-97 BA-2 BL! #0250

Exhibit P-40 FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission Hospital Ships (T-AH) (\$M)

<b>I-AH</b> Grew Costs Maintenance & Repair I avherth	<b>FY 1996*</b> 4.04 7.26 3.17	EY 1997* 3.54 7.00 2.56	<b>FY 1998</b> 3.58 5.12 2.74	EY 1999 3.71 4.34 2.75	FY 2000.	FY 2001	EY 2002	EY 2003
Other  Iotal T-AH.	3.87 1 <b>8.34</b>	(0.02)	2.04 13.48	4.04	14.8	15.1	15.4	15.8

\* T-AH's were not directly funded via NDSF in FY 1996 and FY 1997.

### Justification:

Two T.AHs are maintained in a 5-day Reduced Operating Status (ROS-5) as required by the Mobility Requirements Study (VOL II/APP 14) and CINC OPLANS. These ships provide the critical initial surge field hospital capability to support warlighting, humanitarian, and Operations Other Than War. T-AH ships have a cadre crew assigned, are outported at a layberth, and undergo annual sea trials, periodic dock trials, and required periodic regulatory drydockings/inspections.

Grew costs include wages & salaries.

Maintenance & Repair costs include daily maintenance and regulatory inspections, drydockings, and overhauls.

Layberth Costs include berth lease, utilities, tugs, pilots, and inport fuel.

Other costs include ADP support, supplies, subsistence, spare parts, consumables, and DBOF profit/loss

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

FY 1998 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N BUDGET ACTIVITY:

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000
PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE COMPLETE CONT. FY 2002 FY 2003 7,109 096'9 FY 2001 6,810 ESTIMATE FY 2000 959'9 090000 - NDSF Research and Development, Strategic Sealift ESTIMATE FY 1999 6,513 ESTIMATE FY 1998 6,377 U) COST (Dollars in thousands) ESTIMATE FY 1997 8,452 ESTIMATE FY 1996 19,110 NUMBER & PROJECT

PROGRAM

CONT.

continuation of the Fast Sealift Technology Development Program established by Congress in FY 1990. The program goal is to develop new concepts and technologies which can be applied to future sealift ships and merchant ships to enhance their operational capability and efficiency, while simultaneously reducing the life cycle cost, particularly acquisition cost, (U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The Strategic Sealift Research and Development Program is a of ships capable of performing the sealift mission.

The technologies developments addressed by the total program include total ship concepts, alternatives for achieving Three primary focus areas are term efforts will also enhance Joint Service LOTS operations to satisfy CINC requirements. This program heavily involves equipment to increase cargo loading and unloading rates (including merchant ship replenishment), manning reduction concepts, improved structural configurations and materials, and Logistics-Over-The-Shore (LOTS) improvements. The far-U.S. industry, particularly shipyards, and includes participation by the USCG and MARAD to assure that the potential convertibility of lift on/lift off cargo ships to roll on/roll off cargo ships and vice versa, improvements in ship production and design for production methods, better hydrodynamics, improved ship propulsion/auxiliary machinery, benefits of these technologies, to commercial ship design and shipbuilding, are realized. Three primary focus a (1) mid-term sealift improvements (post 2000), (2) far-term improvements (2010-2020) and (3) merchant ship naval augmentation program (MSNAP).

requirement for recapitalization of the Ready Reserve Force (RRF) established by the Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) of Mid-term improvements are envisioned to be incorporated into new construction vessels acquired to meet the

FY 1998 RDT&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000

DATE: Feb 1997

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Far-term improvements are intended for the 2010-2020 time frame, when most sealift assets will be due for replacement (Fast Sealift Ships (FSS), Maritime Pre-Positioned Ships (MPS), T-AH, and T-AVB). This program addresses advanced ship concepts and development of a sea state three (3) Joint Logistics Over The Shore (JLOTS) capability.

program are to provide new militarily useful capabilities, improve ship performance envelopes and increase crew efficiency through mechanization. These elements are necessary because merchant ships were designed to fill a narrow commercial need Seashed Systems, Modular Cargo (MCDS) and Fuel (MFDS) Delivery Systems, Vertical Replenishment (VERTREP) deck, Container program develops prototype systems from service approved and commercially available components. The elements of the facilities oriented toward offload in a developed port. This R&D program produced the Auxiliary Crane Ship (T-ACS), Ship Strikeup System, Portable Berthing, Head and Shower Modules, Lighter on Deck Stowage Facility and several other Sealift Enhancement Features. Most Ready Reserve Force (RRF) ships have been improved by the program. with the greatest feasible economy. Their crew sizes are small, machinery installations austere and cargo handling MSNAP enables civilian manned merchant ships to perform tasks in support of the Strategic Sealift Mission.

Page 32 of 55

FY 1998 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT:

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000
PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND ELEMENT: 0408042N

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

1. (U) FY 1996 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

(U) (\$350K) Completed design development of selected cargo convertibility system. Provided integration support for this system into final Mid-Term Sealift Ship(MTSS)/Future Technology Variant (FTV) baseline design.

(U) (\$6,415K) Delivered engine room arrangement analysis and 3-D computer-aided design (CAD) models of low and medium speed diesel engine room alternatives. Completed final set of new "global" maritime shipbuilding standards. Completed CAD-based production engineering tools demonstrator.

(U) (\$855K) Completed Generic Build Strategy development.

Delivered final (U) (\$1,060K) Completed testing of bulb and stern alternatives and refined propeller design. cavitation and vibration study report. Obtained foreign model test data. (U) (\$500K) Continued development of systems to increase cargo delivery rate through improvements to cargo handling equipment. Delivered final design development report for LMSR sideport ramp. Continued analysis of MTSS/FTV load and unload times.

Delivered Console and Workstation (U) (\$300K) Completed development effort for advanced manning concepts. Delivered Design Concepts Report. Completed final estimate of required manning for MTSS/FTV. Design Concepts Report. (U) (\$1,900K) Continued effort to develop improved structural configurations for sealift ships. Continued advanced double hull producibility and cost study. Delivered Advanced Double Hull Corrosion Control Report. Delivered Interim Advanced Double Hull Strength Report.

Page 33 of 55

FY 1998 RDT&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

DATE: Feb 1997

- (U) (\$1,100K) Continued development of selected composite structural items for sealift ships. Continuing final Completed electromagnetic environment drawings for composite deckhouse and initiate contract for fabrication. assessment effort
- Fabricated prototype LASCOR hatch (U) (\$670K) Continued LASCOR producibility and cost impact study. cover/movable deck panels for testing.
- Delivered final report on (U) (\$1,250K) Continued efforts to improve sealift ship cost analysis capability. Delivered final shipyard overhead costs. Delivered interim life cycle cost analysis for MTSS/FTV design baseline. specification for PODAC cost model,
- Continued design of MTSS/FTV (FTV Mk Continued MTSS technology impact assessment efforts. Hosted "Commercial Ships for Military Use" (U) (\$440K) Continued investigations of improved sealift ship concepts. 2). Continued MTSS technology impact concepts.
- (U) (\$2,270K) Continued industry and government development of far-term sealift ship concepts and technologies Continued industry and government developments of LOTS system concepts and technologies which address joint service JLOTS system deficiencies identified during the OSD sponsored JLOTS III test series. and fabrication of lighter trainer demonstrator.
- (U) (\$100K) Completed evaluation of Crane Enhanced Containership system.
- (U) (\$308K) Continued development and demonstration of systems to transport LCAC on SEABEE and LASH ships.
- (U) (\$620K) Initiated development of hardware for improved motion compensation/sea state 3 capability for sealift support ship crane/cargo handling systems.

Page 34 of

FY 1998 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY:

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000

DATE: Feb 1997

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

- (U) (\$340K) Initiated development of reduced powering requirement for sealift support ships
- (U) (\$356K) Continued development of the Advanced Bulk Liquid Transfer System (previously known as Advanced Assault Fuel System) to replace the aging Amphibious Assault Bulk Fuel System
- (U) (\$51K) Performed evaluation/demonstration of synthetic line for modular delivery systems.
- (U) (\$225K) Developed the OPDS (Offshore Petroleum Discharge System) Monitoring System to improve and simplify OPDS operations.

# 2. (U) FY 1997 PLAN:

- (U) (\$200K) Provide integration support for cargo convertibility system into final MTSS/FTV baseline
- Deliver CAD-based (U) (\$1,723K) Complete documentation of Engine Room Arrangement Model (ERAM) effort. production engineering tools software.
- (U) (\$180K) Complete documentation of hydrodynamic improvement efforts
- (U) (\$310K) Complete development of systems to increase cargo delivery rate through improvements to cargo handling equipment. Complete final analysis of Mid-term Sealift MTSS/FTV load and unload times.
- (U) (\$100K) Complete documentation of advanced manning efforts.
- Complete advanced Complete final double huli producibility and cost study. Deliver Final Advanced Double Hull Strength Report. (U) (\$615K) Complete effort to develop improved structural configurations for sealift ships. structural design for MTSS/FTV.

FY 1998 RDT&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

MENT: 0408042N

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

- (U) (\$330K) Complete LASCOR producibility and cost impact study. Complete testing of LASCOR hatch cover/movable deck panels. (U) (\$514K) Deliver and test prototype composite deckhouse structure. Deliver final assessment of composite deckhouse effort.
  - (U) (\$300K) Complete efforts to improve sealift ship cost analysis capability. Deliver final life cycle cost analysis for MTSS/FTV design baseline.
- U) (\$200K) Complete investigations of improved sealift ship concepts. Complete design of Mid Term Sealift Ship/Future Technology Variant (MTSS/FTV). Complete and document MTSS technology impact assessment effort. Assess benefits of introducing sealift ship technologies developed into specific LMSR designs.
- ship concepts and total LOTS systems. Continue design and fabrication and initiate testing of lighter operator (U) (\$1,580K) Continue investigations of improved far-term technology. Integate new technologies into total trainer.
- (U) (\$400K) Initiate development of the OPDS Mobile Terminal (OMT).
- (U) (\$1,290K) Continue development and demonstration of improved motion compensation system for sealift support ship crane/cargo handling systems.
- (U) (\$175K) Complete development of Advanced Bulk Liquid Transfer System (ABLTS).
- (U) (\$175K) Complete LCAC/SEABEE/LASH transport system development
- (U) (\$360K) Initiate Float/Sink (F/S)Breakwater system development.

Page 36 of 55

FY 1998 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROJECT NUMBER: 090000 PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

### 3. (U) FY 1998 PLAN:

BUDGET ACTIVITY:

- (U) (\$50K) Complete functional test of ABLTS.
- (U) (\$50K) Initiate development of systems for MERSHIP support of advanced operational concepts.
- (U) (\$800K) Continue F/S Breakwater system development/demonstration.
- (U) (\$400K) Continue Offshore Mobile Terminal (OMT) development/model testing
- (U) (\$900K) Continue development and demonstration of improved motion compensation/sea state 3 capability for sealift support ship crane/cargo handling systems.
- (U) (\$400K) Develop specific ship concepts for heavy-lift sealift ships and other promising sealift ship concepts (e.g., follow-on Maritime Prepositioning Force ships).
- (U) (\$400K) Complete fabrication and preliminary testing of advanced lighter simulator.
- (U) (\$700K) Model design and testing of a composite causeway section.
- (U) (\$300K) Investigate advanced lightweight, high strength materials for improving safety and reducing personnel requirements for cargo rigging systems.
- (U) (\$350K) Investigate options for sea state 3 discharge of heavy lift cargo ships for deployment of lighters.
- planning for the new sea state 3 capable causeway. Areas to be investigated include SS3 RO/RO Discharge facility, ship/lighter motion control & mooring systems, ELCAS SS3 upgrades, lighter to platform interface. investigation of advanced relative motion compensation systems as well as life cycle impact studies and . This includes (U) (2,027) Continue development of JLOTS sea state 3 (SS3) capable causeway systems

Page 37 of 55

FY 1998 RDT&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PH

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000

PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

### 4. (U) FY 1999 PLAN:

- (U) (\$250K) Complete F/S breakwater system development/demonstration.
- (U) (\$700K) Conduct OMT subsystem demonstration.
- (U) (\$350K) Continue MERSHIP new "opconcept" developments.
- (U) (\$966K) Continued Crane/Cargo Handling sea state 3 capability development/demonstration.
- (U) (\$500K) Develop specific ship concepts for heavy-lift sealift ships and other promising sealift ship concepts (e.g., follow-on Maritime Prepositioning Force ships).
- (U) (\$100K) Complete testing of the advanced rough water lighter trainer.
- (U) (\$700K) Complete preliminary design of conceptual composite causeway section.
- (U) (\$300K) Procure and test advanced lightweight rigging systems.
- (U) (\$350K) Design conceptual sea state 3 heavy lift ship lighter discharge methods.
- (U) (\$2,297K) Continue JLOTS sea-state 3 causeway systems development including. exploration of relative motion compensation concepts, SS3 RO/RO discharge facility, ship/lighter motion control & mooring systems, ELCAS SS3 upgrades, and lighter to platform interface.

(U) JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION because it develops and integrates hardware for experimental test related to specific ship or aircraft applications.

Page 38 of 5!

FY 1998 RDI&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000 PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

FY 1999 6,920 6,513 -407 FY 1998 5,966 6,377 +411 FY 1997 8,452 8,452 (000\$) FY 1996 19,110 19,110 (U) Adjustments from FY 1997 PRESBUDG: (U) FY 1998/1999 PRESBUDG Submit: (U) FY 1997 President's Budget: B. (U) PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:

(U) CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:

(U) Funding: FY 99 funds were reduced and FY 98 funds were increased to improve the overall funding profile.(U) Schedule: Not applicable.(U) Technical: Not applicable.

C. (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not applicable.

FY 1998 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

DATE: Feb 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000
PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N
PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

(U) SCHEDULE PROFILE: Ö.

Program and Engineering Milestones:

FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	To Complete
Slow speed engine room arrang. analysis complete 4/96				NDSF R&D is a Continuing Program
Medium speed engine room arrange. 9/96				
Design devel of convertible cargo system complete 9/96	Life cycle costs analysis completed 8/97			
Mid Term Sealift Ship/Future Tech Variant (MK 2) Completed 9/96	Final Mid Term Sealift Ship/ Future tech Variant Completed 6/97			
Develop initial motion compensation crane (MOCOMP) concepts 9/96	Continue MOCOMP designs/evaluations	Demonstrate MOCOMP system	Demonstrate MOCOMP system	Design higher sea state systems
Assessment of LOTS total system concepts compl 8/96				
Future ship concept design/cost anal. 9/96	Future ship military/ technology assessment 9/97	Future ship alternative concepts		
Adv. Lighter Simul. Math Model complete 9/96	Adv. Lighter Simul. utilization plan complete 9/97	Adv. Lighter Simul. fab. complete 6/98	Adv. Lighter Simul. tests complete 9/99	
FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	To Complete
		Comp. c/w model tests complete	Comp c/w prelim. design	Final design, fabrication, and

		86/6	complete 7/99	test of preliminary composite causeway
			Lightweight rigging tests complete	
		353	9/99 SS3 mooring	SS3 mooring system
	,	ship/lighter interface. SS3	concept model	fab. & test; SS3 ELCAS system design,
		ELCAS, & SS3 RRDF	SS3 ELCAS &	fab, & test
		designs complete	RRDF prelim.	
		86/9	designs	
			complete, 9/99	
Complete CEC evaluation 9/96				
	Tests of hull and deckhouse			
	structural models complete			
	8/97			
	Complete LCAC/LASH/SEABEE	Complete	Complete F/S	NDSF R&D is a
	transport system development	functional test	breakwater	Continuing Program.
		of ABLTS, 7/98	development.	

T&E Milestones: Not Applicable Contract Milestones: Not Applicable

Page 41 of 55

FY 1998 RDT&E, N PROGRAM ELEMENT/PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN

DATE: Feb 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000
PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: National Defense Sealift Fund

(U) PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN: (\$ in thousands) Ä

Project Cost Categories	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
a. LO to RO Convertibility	350	200		
b. Producibility RDT&E	6,415	1,220		
c. Design for Production	855	503		
d. Hydrodynamic Improvements	1,060	180		
e. RO/RO Throughput Improvements	200	310		
f. Advanced Manning	300	100		
g. Structural Configuration	1,900	615		
h. Composite Structures	1,100	514		
i. LASCOR Structures	670	330		
j. Cost Analysis	1,250	300		
k. Ship Concepts	440	200	400	500
1. Far Term Technology	2270	1,580	3-777	747
m. Merchant Ship Naval Aug.	2,000	2,400	2,200	2,266
Total	19,110	8,452	6,377	6,513

Page 42 of 55



# FY 1998 RDT&E, N PROGRAM ELEMENT/PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN

PROJECT NUMBER: 090000
PROJECT TITLE: Research and Development

DATE: Feb 1997

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: National Defense Sealift Fund PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0408042N 4 BUDGET ACTIVITY:

B. (U) BUDGET ACQUISITION HISTORY AND PLANNING INFORMATION (\$ in thousands)

To Total Complete FY 1999 Budget FY 1998 Budget FY 1997 Budget FY 1996 Budget FY 1995 & Prior Total Project Office EAC Perform Activity EAC Oblig Award/ Date PERFORMING ORGANIZATIONS Fund Type Vehicle Contract Method/ Product Development Contractor/ Performing Government Activity Program

0 0 Cont. Cont. Cont. Cont 0 840 655 550 0 4,468 4,317 865 570 0 625 0 1,018 2,955 220 630 0 3,629 5,335 4,905 6,588 982 400 900 2,320 6,285 5,759 13,253 861 Cont. 12,843 3,657 Cont. Cont. Cont. Cont Various Various Various Cont. Designers and Planners, Inc (D&P) Arlington, VA C/CPFF 9/93 Designers and Planners, Inc (D&P) Arlington, VA C/CPFF 1989 MR Various MR NSWC/Dahlgren Div., CSS NSWC/Carderock Div.WR Other Contractors Other Government Arlington, VA

3,657

12,843

Cont.

Cont.

Cont.

6,513

6,377

8,452

19,110

28,478

Support and Management - Not applicable. Test and Evaluation - Not applicable.

Total Project

GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY - Not applicable.

Page 43 of 55

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

			E) FY 1998/1999 Bu Ready Re	Exhibit P-40 FY 1998/1999 Budget NDSF Submission Ready Reserve Fleet (RRF) (\$M)	nole		N B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	NDSF Feb-97 BA-5 BLI #0500
BBE O&M Other	<b>EY 1996.</b> · 266.2	<b>EY 1997</b> 243.5	<b>EY 1998</b> 282.9	<b>FY 1999</b> 249.8	FY 2000	FY 2001.	FY 2002	FY 2003
NDRF/Facilities	8.0	8.6	9.3	9.4				
Supply Programs*	8.0	7.5	7.9	7.4				
Maine Schoolship Ro/Ro Improvements	5.0 20.0	0.0 0.0	1.9	9.5				
Jotal RRE	309.0	285.8	302.0	276.1	<u>255.8</u>	269.2	266.2	275.2

BBE O&M Other

\* = Special Maintenance/Supply programs including an inventory/validation system implementation, Logistics Support, and spare parts.

### Justification:

The RRF budget is based upon the conclusions of the OSD published Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) and the MRS Bottom-Up Review Update (MRS BURU). These studies specified a required readiness status for the RRF ships. This status allows the ships to activate in time to deliver cargo to a given area of operations and satisfy time critical warfighting requirements. The criteria for each readiness status was also specified in the MRS (i.e. Outporting, Sea/Dock Trials, Maintenance). These criteria determine the appropriate funding required for a given readiness level. Additional ships are maintained to provide tanker and troop ship support required for OPLAN and contingency execution. Current Strategic Sealiff assets are insufficient to meet OPLAN/MRC requirements. This necessitates maintaining a higher level of readiness within the RRF until the new LMSRs are added to the surge fleet.

### FY 1999/1999 Budget Estimate Submission Ready Reserve Force (RRF)

### **RRF** Composition

RRF Ship Types RO/RO	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
ROS-4 ROS-5 RRF-10 RRF-20	24	28	31	31	21 6 4	21 6 4	21 6 4	21 6 4
RRF-30 PREPO	7	3						
Total	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
Breakbulk								
ROS-4 ROS-5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
RRF-10	21	21	21	21	10	10	10	10
RRF-20	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
RRF-30					21	21	0	0
Total T-ACS	35	35	35	35	35	35	14	14
ROS-4					- 5	5	5	5
ROS-5	8	9	9	9	4	4	4	4
RRF-10								
RRF-20 RRF-30								
PREPO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
LASH BOS 4								
ROS-4 ROS-5								
RRF-10	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
RRF-20								
RRF-30 Total	4	4					•	Á
SEABEE	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
ROS-4								
ROS-5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
RRF-10 RRF-20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
RRF-30								
Total	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Tanker ROS-4								
ROS-5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
RRF-10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
RRF-20	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
RRF-30 PREPO	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	9
Total	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	2 10
Troop Ships								
ROS-4 ROS-5								
RRF-10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
RRF-20		_	_	2	2	2	2	2
RRF-30								
Total Total	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
ıvaı	94 94	95 95	95 95	95 95	95 95	<b>95</b> 95	74 74	74 74
New Acquisitions*	<b>.</b>			4	1	30	/-	/~
Retirements						21**		

<sup>\*\* = 21</sup> Breakbulk Ships are due to retire in FY 2001

Exhibit P-5
FY 1998/1999 Budget Estimate Submission

### Ready Reserve Force (RRF)

RRF O&M	FY 1996	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999
RO/RO				
ROS-4	92.4	88.7	108.2	108.4
ROS-5				
RRF-10				
Total	92.4	89.7	108.2	108.4
Breakbulk				
ROS-4				
ROS-5	31.2	37.4	33.5	31.0
RRF-10	55.2	39.8	61.0	40.3
RRF-20	7.1	6.9	8.3	7.3
RRF-30				
Total	93.5	84.1	102.8	78.5
T-ACS				
ROS-4				
ROS-5	26.4	31.5	32.0	29.9
RRF-10				
RRF-30	7.4			
Total	33.8	32.5	32.0	29.9
LASH				
ROS-4				
ROS-5				
RRF-10	8.9	9	7.3	8.6
Total	8.9	9	7.3	8.6
SEABEE				
ROS-4		•		
ROS-5	11.5	7.2	6.9	6.4
RRF-10	5.2	1.7	2.5	1.6
Total	16.7	8.9	9.3	8.0
Tanker				
ROS-4				
ROS-5	10.4	6.2	7.3	6.0
RRF-10	5.5	8.2	12.2	7.4
RRF-20	5	4.9	3.7	3.1
Total	20.9	19.3	23.2	16.5
Total O&M	266.2	243.5	282.9	249.8

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

49

NDSF Feb 1997 BA-5

# Exhibit P-40 FY 1998/1999 Budget Estimate Submission

### National Defense Features (\$M)

FY 2003	0.0
<b>EY 2002</b>	0.0
<b>EY 2001</b>	0.0
<b>EY 2000</b>	0.0
FY 1999	0.0
FY 1998	0.0
FY 1997	0.0
FY 1996	20.0
NDE	

### Justification:

privately owned and operated, U.S. built, U.S. flagged merchant vessels. NDF are features built into or added to commercial vessels The National Defense Features (NDF) program will provide funds for the installation and maintenance of critical defense features on construction cost, except for the cost of NDF, will be borne by commercial interest who will contract directly with a U.S. Shipyard for to make them more capable of supporting the military in a contingency. Examples of this would be; enhancing a vessel's ability to carry military equipment or ammunition or to enhance a vessel's characteristics such as speed, range, or deck strength. Vessel conversion or construction of the ship. THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



FY 1998/FY 1999 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

February 1997

BUDGET ACTIVITY:

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: PROGRAM ELEMENT:

(U) COST: (Dollars in Thousands)

TOTAL PROGRAM	50,000
TO COMPLETE	0
FY 2003 ESTIMATE	0
FY 2002 ESTIMATE	0
FY 2001 ESTIMATE	0
FY 2000 ESTIMATE	0
FY 1999 ESTIMATE	0
FY 1998 ESTIMATE	0
PROJECT NUMBER & FY 1996 FY 1997 IITLE ACTUAL ACTUAL	e Vehicle 50,000 0
PROJECT NUMBER & FY TITLE AC	Large Scale Vehicle 50,000

vehicle performance data (course, speed, depth, pitch, roll, etc.), and capable of powered operations at speeds greater than 25 knots and depths that might exceed 800 feet. It will perform a wide range of preprogrammed maneuvers and produce dependable, repeatable research results. Finally, the vehicle will be designed for multi-mission testing designed to are This project will design and procure an unmanned, autonomous, self technologies can be demonstrated. These technologies will be applicable to the New Attack submarine and some technologies may be applicable to all submarines. The vehicle will be a 1/4 to 1/3 scale model of an attack submarine, propelled by a developmental in nature , they represent a fully funded LSV design and construction effort and as such will expend over two to three year period of time, vice a one year period as does most R&D. support submarine hydrodynamic and hydro-acoustic studies in support of the New Attack Submarine. Although these funds battery powered direct drive motor, full instrumentation to provide scientific data (accelerometers, hydrophones, etc.) propelled Large Scale Vehicle (LSV) designed for rapid reconfiguration, from which a broad range of new submarine (U) MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

# (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

- (U) FY 1996 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:
- (U) (\$2,000) Development of vehicle concept and performance specifications.
- (U) (\$7,000) Begin vehicle detailed design. Order long lead time material (LLTM).
- (U) (\$14,000) Complete vehicle detailed design. Begin vehicle construction.
- (U) (\$15,000) Continue vehicle construction
- (U) (\$12,000) Complete vehicle construction. Test and demonstrate vehicle performance.
- (U) FY 1997 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: 7

Not applicable.

Page 51 of 55 Pages

### UNCLASSIFIED

FY 1998/FY 1999 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

February 1997 DATE:

> PROGRAM ELEMENT: 4 BUDGET ACTIVITY:

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE:

PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT TITLE:

- (U) FY 1998 PLAN: ٠ ٣
- Not applicable.
- (U) FY 1999 PLAN: 4.
- Not applicable.

m m

1000	0	0	0
1	0	0	0
1	0	0	0
TO 1006	0	+50,000	50,000
(U) PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:	(U) FY 1997 President's Budget:	(U) Adjustments from FY 1997 PRESBUDG: +50,000	(U) FY 1998/1999 PRESBUDG Submit:

- (U) CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:
- Congress fenced \$50M within the National Sealift Defense Funds to support construction of a new Large Scale Vehicle. (U) Funding:
- (U) Schedule: Not applicable.
- (U) Technical: Not applicable.
- (U) OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not applicable. ບ່
- (U) RELATED RDT&E:
- (SSBN Security & Survivability Program) (U) PE 0101224N (U) PE 0603561N (U) PE 0603569E (U) PE 0603792N (U) PE 0604558N
- (Advanced Submarine System Development)
- (DARPA Advanced Submarine Technology Program)
  - (Advanced Technology Transition)
    - (New Design SSN Development)

Page 52 of 55 Pages

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2

FY 1998/FY 1999 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

PROGRAM ELEMENT: PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE:

PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT TITLE:

DATE: February 1997

D. (U) SCHEDULE PROFILE:

FY 1996

FY 1998

FY 1997

FY 1999

Program Miletones

Milestones

Engineering Milestones

Order LLTM

Commence construction

Dev performance specifications

Complete detailed design

T&E Milestones Contract Milestones

Contract Award

AP Approval

Issue CBD Announcement

Release RFP

Page 53 of 55 Pages

Exhibit R-2

UNCLASSIFIED

DATE: February 1997 0 FY 1998 0 FY 1998/FY 1999 RDT&E, N PROGRAM ELEMENT/PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT TITLE: FY 1997 0 0 (U) PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN: (\$ in thousands) 8,000 42,000 FY 1996 PROGRAM ELEMENT: PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: 50,000 PROJECT COST CATEGORIES BUDGET ACTIVITY: Design Construction TOTAL

ъ. Ф

A.

FY 1999

0

0

Page 54 of 55 Pages

Exhibit R-3



FY 1998/FY 1999 RDT&E,N PROGRAM ELEMENT/PROJECT COST BREAKDOWN

DATE: February 1997

PROGRAM ELEMENT: PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: BUDGET ACTIVITY:

PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT TITLE:

0 0 0 0 Complete 0 0 0 0 FY 1999 Budget 0 0 FY 1998 Budget 0 0 0 0 FY 1997 Budget (U) BUDGET ACQUISITION HISTORY AND PLANNING INFORMATION (\$ in thousands) 8,000 0 0 42,000 FY 1996 Budget 0 0 0 0 FY 1995 & Prior Total 8,000 42,000 Project Office EAC TBD 8,000 Activity Perform EAC Award/ Oblig Date TBD Var PERFORMING ORGANIZATIONS Support and Management Misc Fund Type Contract Method/ Vehicle Product Development Test and Evaluation TBD Var Contractor/ Government Performing Activity Misc Misc TBD

8,000

42,000

Program Total

0

0

GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY: Not applicable.

	FY 1995 & Prior	FY 1996 Budget	FY 1997 Budget	FY 1998 Budget	FY 1999 Budget	To Complete	Total Program
Subtotal Product Development	0	50,000	0	0	0	0	20,000
Subtotal Support and Management	0.	0	0	0	0	0	
Subtotal Test and Evaluation	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Project	0	50,000	0	0	0	0	50,000

Total

Page 55 of 55 Pages

Exhibit R-3

## UNCLASSIFIED